

DOCKET NO. BP1908
Customer No. 51,472



ZW
AF

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Lin et al.

Serial No. 10/008,873

Filed: November 8, 2001


For: Scalable Synchronous
Packet Transmit
Scheduler

Group No.: 2664

Examiner: Fox, Jamal A.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER RULE 8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Mail under 37 C.F.R. § 1.8 with sufficient First Class Postage and is addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on February 13, 2006.

By: 
Barbara A. Adkins

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Sir:

Responsive to the Official Action having a mailed date of December 13, 2005, please find enclosed the following:

1. Response to Final Official Action Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116
2. Return Receipt Post Card

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 13, 2006

/Kevin L. Smith/
Kevin L. Smith, Reg. No. 38,620
Attorney for Applicant

Garlick Harrison & Markison, LLP
P.O. Box 160727
Austin, TX 78716-0727
(972) 772-8836/office
(972) 772-5033/facsimile



DOCKET NO. BP1908

Customer No. 51,472

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Lin et al.

Serial No. 10/008,873

Filed: November 8, 2001

For: Scalable Synchronous
Packet Transmit
Scheduler

Group No.: 2664

Examiner: Fox, Jamal A.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER RULE 8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Mail under 37 C.F.R. § 1.8 with sufficient First Class Postage and is addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on February 13, 2006.

By: 

Barbara A. Adkins

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO OFFICIAL ACTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

Sir:

Responsive to the Final Official Action having a mailed date of December 13, 2005, the Applicant hereby makes the following arguments and remarks. As such, reconsideration of the action and allowance of the present application are respectfully requested and are believed to be appropriate in view of the following:

Amendment to the Specification – N/A

Amendment to the Claims begins on page 2 of this paper.

Amendment to the Drawings – N/A

Remarks begin on page 4 of this paper.